

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/752,709 | 01/08/2004 | John H. Hayes | 010628.50474C3 | 5556 |
| | 590 09/13/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 | | | CONLEY, FREDRICK C | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20044-4300 | | 3673 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application Number | Application/Control No. | Reexamination | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/752 700 | | | | |
| | 10/752,709 | HAYES, JOHN H. | | | |
| | Heather Shackelford | 3673 | | | |
| | | , | | | |
| Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review | | | | | |
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed <u>7/25/2005</u> . | | | | | |
| Improper Request – The Req reason(s): | uest is improper and a confere | ence will not be held for the following | | | |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other: . | | | | | |
| The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. | | | | | |
| held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice. | appeal because there is at lea in accordance with 37 CFR 41 om mailing this decision, or the e of appeal, whichever is greate FR 1.136 based upon the mai | A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been st one actual issue for appeal. Applicant .37. The time period for filing an appeal balance of the two-month time period er. Further, the time period for filing of the I date of this decision or the receipt date | | | |
| The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | | |
| 4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | | |
| All participants: | | | | | |
| (1) <u>David J. Bagnell</u> . | (3) <u>Fred Co</u> | onley. H | | | |
| (1) <u>David J. Bagnell</u> . (2) <u>Heather Shackelford</u> . | (4) | | | | |